

To Print: Click your browser's PRINT button.

NOTE: To view the article with Web enhancements, go to:
<http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/520125>

Autism Spectrum Disorders Expert Column

Face Processing in Individuals With Autism

Geraldine Dawson, PhD

Medscape Psychiatry & Mental Health. 2005;10(2) ©2005 Medscape
Posted 12/29/2005

Introduction

Autism is a life-long neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by impairments in social and communicative abilities and a restricted range of interests and behaviors. Individuals with autism have profound difficulties with social interactions, which are manifested by impediments in the use of eye contact and problems with reciprocal social interactions and responses to emotional cues. Retrospective studies of home videotapes of first birthday parties of infants who were later diagnosed with autism document an early failure to actively attend to other people's faces and speech.^[1,2] By age 2-3 years, children with autism exhibit a range of social dysfunction, including impairments in joint attention, imitation, and emotional responses.^[3-7]

Impairments in face processing are well documented in autism. Such impairments are part of a more general dysfunction in complex social brain circuitry in persons with autism.^[8] Because neural systems underlying face processing are present early in life, impairments in face processing likely represent a dysfunction of these very early brain systems. Typical neonates exhibit a visual preference for faces^[9] and rapid face recognition.^[10] At the age of 6 months, typically developing infants demonstrate specific brain responses, as measured by event-related potentials (ERPs), to familiar vs unfamiliar faces^[11,12] and to fearful vs neutral facial expressions. These early face-processing skills are important for learning to interpret emotional expression and share attention with others.^[13-16]

The Neural Basis of Face Processing

Evidence for face-processing impairments in autism comes from both behavioral and neuroimaging studies. In behavioral studies, elementary school-age children with autism have been found to perform worse than their mental age- and chronological age-matched peers on tests of face discrimination,^[17] face recognition,^[18-22] and emotion perception and recognition.^[23]

In typically developing individuals, positron emission tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging studies reveal that the right fusiform gyrus of the occipitotemporal cortex is more activated during perception of faces than various non-face stimuli, inverted faces, or scrambled faces.^[24-33] The superior temporal sulcus is involved in processing face movements (eg, the eyes and mouth), and the amygdala is recruited when the face is familiar or expressing emotional content.^[34] However, imaging studies indicate that the brains of individuals with autism fail to recruit brain regions specialized for faces; for example, when a person with autism scans unfamiliar faces, the fusiform face area fails to activate, but rather, areas involved in processing of objects activate or idiosyncratic patterns of activation are evident.^[35,36]

Faces themselves also elicit a characteristic pattern of electrical brain activity. In typical individuals from age 4 years to adult, an ERP component is seen that preferentially activates to faces.^[37] This component, the "N170," is

recorded over the posterior temporal lobe and is greater in the right than the left hemisphere.^[38-43] The N170 is faster in response to faces and eyes alone than to inverted faces and non-face stimuli. Its latency is sensitive to disruptions in early-stage processing of faces but is not altered by familiarity of the face.^[40,44] Facial movements, when the eyes look away or the mouth opens, for example, also influence the N170 amplitude.^[45]

In a series of studies, Dawson and colleagues^[4,5,46,47] found that children and adults with autism exhibit atypical ERPs to faces. They found that autistic children as young as 3 years of age exhibit atypical ERPs to faces and facial expressions, but not to objects.^[4,47,48] Another study demonstrated that, compared with age-matched typical individuals, high-functioning adolescents and adults with autism exhibited longer N170 latencies to faces than to furniture, and the N170 failed to show a face inversion effect. Similar to findings in a study of 6-year-old children with autism,^[47] scalp topography of the N170 did not show the normal right lateralized pattern, but rather was bilaterally distributed. On the basis of these studies, it appears that autism is associated with a life-long pattern of atypical neural responses to faces, which is characterized by a failure to show normal cortical specialization for face processing with slower than normal neural responses to faces.

Models of the Development of Neural Systems Mediating Face Processing: Implications for Understanding and Treating Autism

Different models of typical face-processing systems development have been suggested, each having different implications for our understanding and treatment of face-processing impairments in autism. One model posits that face-processing abilities are subserved by innate neural substrates that are specialized for processing faces (eg, a face processor in the fusiform gyrus) or for strategies used for expert face processing (eg, configural processor).^[49] Alternatively, Nelson^[50] has argued that, although an innate *potential* for cortical specialization for faces exists, such innate mechanisms are domain general (eg, visual processing in the inferior temporal cortex later becomes domain specific as the individual becomes experienced with faces).^[51] Research suggests that early visual experience does influence the development of face processing.^[52,53] Infants with bilateral congenital cataracts who later have their sight restored show subtle impairments in face recognition even after years of visual experience with faces.^[53]

Following from these 2 models are different possibilities regarding the nature of face-processing impairments in autism. One explanation is that face-processing impairments represent *perceptual/cognitive* impairments such as a problem of perceptual binding, a failure to extract perceptually relevant information from faces (eg, prototype formation^[54,55]), or dysfunction of the specific neural mechanism that supports face processing, namely, the fusiform gyrus.

A second explanation is that face-processing impairments are secondary to faulty input to the neural systems that subserve face processing during early sensitive periods when such systems are being developed. According to the *social motivation* hypothesis,^[4,56] a fundamental impairment in social motivation results in reduced attention to faces as well as to all other social stimuli, such as the human voice, hand gestures, and so on. Motivational impairments might stem from abnormalities in either the reward system, *per se*,^[57,58] or neural systems that might be important for the perception of social reward, such as the ability to form representations of others as being "like me," similar to the self in some way.^[16,59,60]

If the social motivation hypothesis is correct, it should be possible to prevent or at least ameliorate face-processing impairments in autism through early intervention. Most early intervention techniques involve directing children's attention to faces and speech, and rewarding them for doing so. In this way, social interactions, in general, become more rewarding and meaningful to the child. Investigators at the University of Washington Autism Center in Seattle are conducting a randomized study of early intensive behavioral intervention for toddlers with autism in which outcome measures will include ERPs to faces, facial expressions, and speech stimuli (phonemes). It is hoped that studies examining the effects of early intervention on children's behavior and brain activity will not only have important implications for devising improved interventions but will also help elucidate the nature of neural abnormalities in autism.

Evidence for Impairments in Face Processing in First-Degree Relatives

Numerous studies have shown that relatives of individuals with autism, including parents and siblings, exhibit higher-than-normal rates of autism-related impairments.^[61-68] One strategy for discovering autism susceptibility genes is to define genetically related quantitative traits, or endophenotypes, related to autism. Face-processing impairments might be one of these traits. On standardized tests of visual processing ability, parents of children with

autism were found to have decrements in face processing relative to other visual spatial abilities. Dawson, Webb, and associates^[69] examined performance of 143 parents of children with autism on standardized Wechsler cognitive tasks assessing verbal (vocabulary, verbal comprehension), visual spatial (block design, object assembly), and face recognition (immediate memory for faces) abilities. The tasks were compared with those performed by a sample of 1250 adults from the general US population. Investigators found that the parents of children with autism demonstrated a significant decrement on the face recognition test compared with their visual spatial and verbal abilities. In another study,^[69] high-density ERPs to faces and to chairs were recorded from parents of children with autism and from control adults with no family history of autism. Control adults demonstrated the expected right larger than left hemisphere N170 to faces, whereas parents of children with autism demonstrated reduced right hemisphere N170 amplitude to faces, resulting in bilaterally distributed ERPs to faces. Moreover, whereas control adults exhibited the expected pattern of faster N170 to upright faces than to upright chairs, parents of children with autism showed no significant difference in N170 latency to upright faces vs upright chairs. On the basis of these results, it is hypothesized that face processing might be a functional neural trait marker of genetic susceptibility to autism.

Conclusion

By discovering autism susceptibility genes, we may eventually be able to identify newborn infants at risk for autism. Very early intervention could provide appropriate stimulation during the period when social brain circuitry is first developing. In this way, prevention or at least significant reductions in severity and range of symptoms of autism might eventually be possible, especially for children without significant comorbid mental retardation. By understanding the nature of impairments of social brain circuitry in autism, we will be able to develop more targeted interventions, focusing on those aspects of early communicative and social behavior that are fundamental to the syndrome.

References

1. Osterling J, Dawson G. Early recognition of children with autism: a study of first birthday home videotapes. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1994;24:247-257. [Abstract](#)
2. Werner E, Dawson G, Osterling J, Dinno N. Brief Report: Recognition of autism spectrum disorder before one year of age: a retrospective study based on home video tapes. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2000;30:157-162. [Abstract](#)
3. Dawson G, Meltzoff AN, Osterling J, et al. Children with autism fail to orient to naturally occurring social stimuli. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1998;28:479-485. [Abstract](#)
4. Dawson G, Carver L, Meltzoff A, et al. Neural correlates of face and object recognition in young children with autism spectrum disorder, developmental delay, and typical development. *Child Dev.* 2002;73:700-717. [Abstract](#)
5. Dawson G, Toth K, Abbott R, et al. Early social attention impairments in autism: social orienting, joint attention, and attention to distress. *Dev Psychol.* 2004;40:271-283. [Abstract](#)
6. Mundy P, Sigman M, Ungerer J, Sherman T. Defining the social deficits of autism: the contribution of non-verbal communication measures. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1986;27:657-669. [Abstract](#)
7. Sigman M, Kasari C, Kwon J, Yirmiya N. Responses to the negative emotions of others by autistic, mentally retarded, and normal children. *Child Dev.* 1992;63:796-807. [Abstract](#)
8. Dawson G, Webb S, Schellenberg G, et al. Defining the broader phenotype of autism: genetic, brain, and behavioral perspectives. In: Cicchetti D, Dawson G, eds. *Special Issue of Development and Psychopathology on "Multiple Levels of Analysis."* 2002;14:581-611.
9. Goren C, Sarty M, Wu P. Visual following and pattern discrimination of face-like stimuli by newborn infants. *Pediatrics.* 1975;56:544-549. [Abstract](#)
10. Walton GE, Bower TGR. Newborns form "prototypes" in less than 1 minute. *Psychol Sci.* 1993;4:203-205.
11. deHaan M, Nelson CA. Recognition of the mother's face by 6-month-old infants: a neurobehavioral study. *Child Dev.* 1997;68:187-210. [Abstract](#)
12. de Haan M, Nelson CA. Brain activity differentiates face and object processing in 6-month-old infants. *Dev Psychol.* 1999;35:1113-1121. [Abstract](#)
13. Brothers L, Ring B, Kling A. Response of temporal lobe neurons to social stimuli in *Maraca actinides*. *Society of Neuroscience Abstract.* 1990;16:184.
14. Perrett D, Harries M, Mistlin A, et al. Social signals analyzed at the single cell level: Someone is looking at me, something touched me, something moved. *Int J Comp Psychol.* 1990;4:25-55.
15. Perrett D, Hietanen J, Oram M, Benson P. Organization and functions of cells responsive to faces in the temporal cortex. In: Bruce V, Cowey A, eds. *Processing the Facial Image.* New York, NY: Clarendon Press; 1992: 23-30.

16. Williams J, Whiten A, Suddendorf W, Perrett D. Imitation, mirror neurons and autism. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2001;25:287-295. [Abstract](#)
17. Tantam D, Monaghan L, Nicholson J, Sterling J. Autistic children's ability to interpret faces: a research note. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1989;30:623-630. [Abstract](#)
18. Boucher J, Lewis V. Unfamiliar face recognition in relatively able autistic children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1992;33:843-859. [Abstract](#)
19. Boucher J, Lewis V, Collis G. Familiar face and voice matching and recognition in children with autism. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1998;39:171-181. [Abstract](#)
20. Gepner B, de Gelder B, de Schonen S. Face processing in autistics: evidence for a generalized deficit? *Child Neuropsychol.* 1996;2:123-139.
21. Klin A, Sparrow SS, de Bildt A, et al. A normed study of face recognition in autism and related disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1999;29:499-508. [Abstract](#)
22. Serra M, Althaus M, de Sonneville LMJ, et al. Face recognition in children with a pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2003;33:303-317. [Abstract](#)
23. Gepner B, de Schonen S, Buttin C. Face processing in young autistic children. *Infant Behavior and Development.* 1994;17:661.
24. Haxby JV, Horwitz B, Ungerleider LG, et al. The functional organization of human extrastriate cortex: a PET-rCBF study of selective attention to faces and locations. *J Neurosci.* 1994;14:6336-6353. [Abstract](#)
25. Haxby J, Ungerleider L, Clark B, et al. The effect of face inversion on activity in human neural systems for face and object perception. *Neuron.* 1999;22:189-199. [Abstract](#)
26. Hoffman E, Haxby J. Distinct representations of eye gaze and identity in the distributed human neural system for face perception. *Nat Neurosci.* 2000; 3:80-84. [Abstract](#)
27. Kanwisher N, McDermott J, Chun MM. The fusiform face area: a module in human extrastriate cortex specialized for face perception. *J Neurosci.* 1997;17:4302-4311. [Abstract](#)
28. Kanwisher N, Tong F, Nakayama K. The effect of face inversion on the human fusiform face area. *Cognition.* 1998;68:B1-11. [Abstract](#)
29. McCarthy G, Puce A, Gore JC, Allison T. Face specific processing in the human fusiform gyrus. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 1997;9:604-609.
30. Puce A, Allison T, Gore JC, McCarthy G. A functional MRI study of face perception in extrastriate cortex. *J Neurophysiol.* 1995;74:1192-1199. [Abstract](#)
31. Puce A, Allison T, Asgari M, et al. Differential sensitivity of human visual cortex to faces, letterstrings, and textures: a functional MRI study. *J Neurosci.* 1996;16:5205-5215. [Abstract](#)
32. Sergent J, Ohta S, Macdonald B. Functional neuroanatomy of face and object processing: A positron emission tomography study. *Brain.* 1992;115:15-36. [Abstract](#)
33. Wojciulik E, Kanwisher N, Driver J. Covert visual attention modulates face specific activity in the human fusiform gyrus: fMRI study. *J Neurophysiol.* 1998;79:1574-1578. [Abstract](#)
34. Aylward E, Bernier R, Field K, et al. Normal activation of fusiform gyrus in adolescents and adults with autism during viewing of familiar faces. Presented at the International Meeting for Autism Research; May 2004; Sacramento, California.
35. Pierce K, Muller R, Ambrose J, et al. Face processing occurs outside the fusiform 'face area' in autism: evidence from functional MRI. *Brain.* 2001;124:2059-2073. [Abstract](#)
36. Schultz R, Gauthier I, Klin A, et al. Abnormal ventral temporal cortical activity during face discrimination among individuals with autism and Asperger syndrome. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2000;57:331-340. [Abstract](#)
37. Taylor M, McCarthy G, Saliba E, Degiovanni E. ERP evidence of developmental changes in processing of faces. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 1999;110:910-915. [Abstract](#)
38. Bentin S, Allison T, Puce A, et al. Electrophysiological studies of face perception in humans. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 1996;8:551-565.
39. Eimer M. Does the face specific component reflect the activity of a specialized eye processor? *Neuroreport.* 1998;9:2945-2948.
40. Eimer M. Effects of face inversion on the structural encoding and recognition of faces: evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Cogn Brain Res.* 2000;10:145-158.
41. Eimer M. Event related potentials distinguish processing stages involved in face perception and recognition. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2000;111:694-705. [Abstract](#)
42. Eimer M. The face-specific N170 component reflects late stages in the structural encoding of faces. *Cogn Neurosci.* 2000;11:2319-2324.
43. George N, Evans J, Fiori N, et al. Brain events related to normal and moderately scrambled faces. *Cogn Brain Res.* 1996;4:65-76.
44. Bentin S, Deouell L. Structural encoding and identification in face processing: ERP evidence for separate mechanisms. *Cogn Neuropsychol.* 2000;17:35-54.
45. Puce A, Smith A, Allison T. ERPs evoked by viewing facial movements. *Cogn Neuropsychol.* 2000;17:221-239.
46. McPartland J, Dawson G, Webb S, et al. Event-related brain potentials reveal anomalies in temporal

- processing of faces in autism spectrum disorder. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2004;45:1235.
47. Webb SJ, Dawson G, Bernier R, Panagiotides H. ERP evidence of atypical face processing in young children with autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2005; in press.
 48. Dawson G, Webb S, Carver L, et al. Young children with autism show atypical brain responses to fearful versus neutral facial expressions. *Dev Sci*. 2004;7:340-359. [Abstract](#)
 49. Kanwisher N. Domain specificity in face perception. *Nat Neurosci*. 2000;3:759-763. [Abstract](#)
 50. Nelson C. The development and neural bases of face recognition. *Infant and Child Development*. 2001;10:3-18.
 51. Karmiloff-Smith A. Development itself is the key to understanding developmental disorders. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 1998;2:389-399.
 52. de Schonen S, Mathivet E. First come, first served: a scenario about the development of hemispheric specialization in face recognition during infancy. *Current Psychology of Cognition*. 1989;9:33-44.
 53. Geldart S, Mondloch C, Maurer D, et al. The effect of early visual deprivation on the development of face processing. *Dev Sci*. 2002;5:490-501.
 54. Klinger L, Dawson G. Prototype formation in children with autism and Down syndrome. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2001;13:111-124. [Abstract](#)
 55. Strauss M. Facial expertise: the perception and recognition of faces by infants, preschoolers, and adults with autism. In: *Current Research and Hypotheses about the Face Processing Abilities of Individuals with Autism*. Paper presented at the International Meeting for Autism Research; May 2004; Sacramento, California.
 56. Grelotti D, Gauthier I, Schultz R. Social interest and the development of cortical face specialization: what autism teaches us about face processing. *Dev Psychobiol*. 2002;40:213-225. [Abstract](#)
 57. Kampe KK, Frith CD, Dolan RJ, Frith U. Reward value of attractiveness and gaze. *Nature*. 2001;413:589.
 58. Schultz W. Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *J Neurophysiol*. 1998;80:1-27. [Abstract](#)
 59. Decety J, Sommerville JA. Shared representations between self and other: a social cognitive neuroscience view. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2003;7:527-533. [Abstract](#)
 60. Meltzoff AN, Brooks R. "Like me" as a building block for understanding others' minds: bodily acts, attention, and intention. In: Malle BF, et al; eds. *Intentions and Intentionality: Foundations for Social Cognition*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 2001: 171-192.
 61. Bailey A, Le Couteur A, Gottesman I, et al. Autism as a strongly genetic disorder: evidence from a British twin study. *Psychol Med*. 1995;25:63-77. [Abstract](#)
 62. Bailey A, Phillips W, Rutter M. Autism: towards an integration of clinical, genetic, neuropsychological, and neurobiological perspectives. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1996;37:89-126. [Abstract](#)
 63. Baker P, Piven J, Schwartz L, Patil S. Brief report: duplication of chromosome 15q11-13 in two individuals with autistic disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 1994;24:529-535. [Abstract](#)
 64. Bolton P, MacDonald H, Pickles A, et al. A case-control family history of autism. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1994;35:877-900. [Abstract](#)
 65. Landa R, Folstein S, Isaacs C. Spontaneous narrative-discourse performance of parents of autistic individuals. *J Speech Hear Res*. 1991;34:1339-1345. [Abstract](#)
 66. Landa R, Piven J, Wzorek M, et al. Social language use in parents of autistic individuals. *Psychol Med*. 1992;22:245-254. [Abstract](#)
 67. Narayan S, Moyes B, Wolff S. Family characteristics of autistic children: a further report. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 1990;20:523-535. [Abstract](#)
 68. Wolff S, Narayan S, Moyes B. Personality characteristics of parents of autistic children: a controlled study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1988;29:143-153. [Abstract](#)
 69. Dawson G, Webb SJ, Wijsman E, et al. Neurocognitive and electrophysiological evidence of altered face processing in parents of children with autism: implications for a model of abnormal development of social brain circuitry in autism. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2005;17:679-697. [Abstract](#)

Funding Information

The writing of this paper was funded by a program project grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the National Institute on Deafness and Communication Disability (PO1HD34565), which is part of the NICHD/NIDCD Collaborative Program of Excellence in Autism, and by a center grant from the National Institute of Mental Health (U54MH066399), which is part of the NIH STAART Centers Program. Send correspondence to Geraldine Dawson, PhD, UW Autism Center, Box 357920, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195.

Geraldine Dawson, PhD, Professor of Psychology; Adjunct Professor of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences; Director, UW Autism Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; Member: Washington State Task Force on Autism; Autism Society of America; American Psychological Association; American Psychological Society;

International Society on Early Intervention; Society for Research in Child Psychopathology; Society for Research in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; Society for Research in Psychopathology; International Society for Autism Research.

Disclosure: Geraldine Dawson, PhD, has disclosed that she has served as an advisor or consultant for IntegraGen, Inc.
